

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/700,766	11/04/2003		Ronald Lubosco	9977		
7	590	09/21/2004		EXAMINER		
Ronald Lubos			WONG, STEVEN B			
10225 Thayer S Brooksville, F)1		ART UNIT PAPER NUM		
,				3711		
			DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					0/_					
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	1					
	055	10/700,7	66	LUBOSCO ET AL.	\int					
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit						
		Steven V	"	3711						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence address	S					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory price to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by treply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no evon. a reply within the state period will apply and w statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be to tutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron olication to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this commun ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tication.					
Status										
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on									
,	•	——. This action is r	non-final.							
· · · ·										
Dispositi	ion of Claims									
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from co								
Applicati	on Papers									
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) \Box	accepted or b)	☐ objected to by the	Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	•	•	, ,						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the concentration is objected to by the	•		•						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Br	ments have bee ments have bee priority docume	en received. en received in Applicat ents have been receiv	tion No	e					
* 9	See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certi	fied copies not receiv	ed.						
Attachment	t(s)									
1) 🔯 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary							
3) 🔲 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Pate Patent Application (PTO-152)						
6. Patent and Tr	ademark Office									

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/700,766

Art Unit: 3711

Priority

1. This application repeats a substantial portion of prior Application No. 10/455,056, filed June 5, 2003, and adds and claims additional disclosure not presented in the prior application. Since this application names an inventor or inventors named in the prior application, it may constitute a continuation-in-part of the prior application. Should applicant desire to obtain the benefit of the filing date of the prior application, attention is directed to 35 U.S.C. 120 and 37 CFR 1.78.

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 19, line 21, the language "armature golfer" should be changed to "amateur golfer".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: in paragraph b, the structure for the golf ball rest is not clearly presented. The language "screw up/down" is unclear in defining the structure for the invention.

In paragraph c, the language "shaft who's " should be changed to "shaft whose ".

In paragraph d, the language states that the tee anchor can be screwed on or off the bottom of the tee shaft, however, the claim fails to define a threaded end for the pointed bottom or the tee anchor.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/700,766

Art Unit: 3711

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2-6 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35
 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claims are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. Note the format of the claims in the patents cited.

Claims 2-6 are unclear in positively defining any structure for the golf tee. The language describes various outcomes or results from the golf tee, however, the claims fail to present any additional structure to that defines in claim 1 that produces these various outcomes or results.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fernicola (
 Fernicola discloses a golf tee and practice golf ball combination wherein the tee is inserted into
 the practice golf ball via a hole therein and threads are provides (note Figures 1 and 2) that
 inherently allow for the height of the ball to be adjusted. The combination of Fernicola is
 inherently capable of altering the spin resistance of the ball.

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or

Application/Control Number: 10/700,766

Art Unit: 3711

improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 1-6 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of copending Application No. 10/455,056 in view of Goldman (1,936,625). Goldman discloses that it is well known in the art to use thread between members in a tee construction. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide threads between the tee head and the tee shaft in order to adjust the height of the tee head.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. The following claim has been drafted by the examiner and considered to distinguish patentably over the art of record in this application, the claim is presented to applicant for consideration:
 - 7) A practice golf tee for providing continuous practice without replacing, removing or resetting the tee, said tee comprising:
 - a. a flexible, durable insertion tip for insertion into a practice golf ball or regulation golf ball having an insertion hole;

Art Unit: 3711

b. a flexible, durable tee head having a cylindrical bore with threads therein and providing a resting place for the outside surface of the golf ball;

- c. a flexible, durable tee shaft extending from the golf ball rest, the tee shaft having threaded ends with the end opposite the golf ball rest also being pointed; the tee head being adjustable along the length of the tee shaft via the threads in order to regulate the depth that the insertion tip is inserted into the golf ball;
- d. a tee anchor for retaining the tee in the ground, the tee anchor having threads for threadingly engaging the pointed end of the tee shaft.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Wong whose telephone number is 703-308-3135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 703-308-1513. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/700,766

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven Wong Fimary Examiner Art Unit 3/11

SBW September 17, 2004